

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_99730</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Residual effect of direct seeded and transplanted rice, nutritional approaches and slow release nitrogen sources on the growth parameters of blackgram crop</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>This is quite an interesting study. This research is of great scientific and practical significance in the field of agriculture. This study performed on nutrient management approaches in rice-blackgram cropping system. The results of the study will bring many benefits in agriculture to improve the productivity and efficiency of crops.</p> <p>It's good</p> <p>The abstract should not include the "Agricultural Research Station, Dhadesugur, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur"</p> <p>It is ok</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The result tables should be presented in graphs which make more beautiful and easier to read. The word "Contd..." in page 10 should be changed into continued.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nguyen Quang Trung</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Vietnam</b>