

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99139
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of inorganic and integrated long-term nutrient management on DTPA-extractable micronutrients in a Vertisol under Soybean-Wheat cropping system across the soil depth
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.ijournalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is an original research work on <i>Effect of inorganic and integrated long-term nutrient management on DTPA-extractable micronutrients in a Vertisol under Soybean-Wheat cropping system across the soil depth</i>. It contributes to an important aspect of agricultural and soil sciences. Overall, it is a good article and may be accepted after the suggested major changes have been implemented. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article can be made more concise with a rephrased line of conclusion at its end. 4. The subsections of the manuscript are appropriate. But sections on recommendations and future scope of the research should be included. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. More recent references should be included in the text. <p>Additional comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The Keywords are traditionally written in alphabetical order separated by semicolons. ii. The author(s) should include some data on the prevailing weather conditions like precipitation, humidity, etc. for better gauging of the research. iii. Some actual photographs of the experiments, soil samples, etc. must be included in the results section. iv. The author(s) should add sections pertaining to recommendations and future scope of the study after the conclusions section, describing in brief how this current research can help in future agricultural research and related policies. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India