

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_99066
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Analytics: Inter-conversion of units among different parameters in soil science
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This manuscript is robust and technically sound for the purpose it is designed.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, manuscript is important. 2. Yes, the title is suitable. 3. Yes, abstract is suitable. 4. Yes, it is appropriate for the purpose it is designed. 5. Yes, it is scientifically correct, with few suggested corrected answers. 6. Yes, since References for such write out are scarce or sparse.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes, English quality is suitable as these are more of Mathematical expressions. Detailed suggested correction would be effected on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Author(s) could type the Topics on google search engine to view any suggested Reference Journal articles.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace O. Tona
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria