

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_98554
Title of the Manuscript:	UNSUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES AS A DRIVER OF SOIL DEGRADATION IN SANTA SUB-DIVISION, NORTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, Land degradation is major problem in this era. How can we detect and manage it is the main topic to be discussed.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Perfectly written	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, related to whole manuscript	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, suitable	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Scientifically correct.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Not sufficient kindly add at least 5-10 latest references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, communicable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravindra Sachan
Department, University & Country	Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, India