

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_98554
Title of the Manuscript:	UNSUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES AS A DRIVER OF SOIL DEGRADATION IN SANTA SUB-DIVISION, NORTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the study is important to the scientific community</p> <p>Suggest the following title: UNSUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL TRANSACTION THAT CONTRIBUTE OF SOIL DEGRADATION IN SANTA SUB-DIVISION, NORTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON</p> <p>Please rewrite the abstract and mention some values? Yes, suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the study is scientifically correct. The sources are not enough.</p> <p>It was better to study the effect of burning on soil microbiology. check values potassium , phosphorus and CEC in table 1. Akum (Market Gardening): The values are very high. Check pH values Table 1. very low . PH(kci)-1:5</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is good. PH or Ph_ mistake . the correct pH. H2O mistake . the correct H₂O</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Source No. 20 is not included in the results and discussion, but is included in the list of sources. There are some lengthy sentences that contain information that needs to be backed up by sources.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalid Ekheylf N. Alhadidi
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq