

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_99805
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE AWARENESS AND KNOWLEDGE ON STANDARD PRECAUTION OF TUBERCULOSIS AMONG PRIMARY HEALTHCARE WORKERS IN EDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript has demonstrated originality and addition of knowledge in TB medicine.</p> <p>The Title needs improvement. Are you assessing awareness of TB in general or just TB standard precautions?</p> <p>Yes. It is comprehensive and included all the essential areas</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes they are sufficient</p>	<p>-Conclusion in the abstract is more of recommendation</p> <p>-Why chose Edu state? Is it high in TB prevalence?</p> <p>-Repetitions in the recommendations. You can combine them.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Needs minor corrections on grammar	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullatif Muhaji Badru
Department, University & Country	Kenya