

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_99632
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Predisposing Children Under Five To Malnutrition at Kampala International University Teaching Hospital Bushenyi Districts, Western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are minor grammatical and typing errors. Overall the article is well-written, clearly stating the research problem, study design, and findings. However, the article lacks detailed information on the sampling technique and data analysis, which could affect the validity of the study's findings. The article could be improved by providing more detailed information on the data collection and analysis techniques employed in the study.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rubeena Gul
Department, University & Country	Khyber Medical College, Pakistan