

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_99634
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Tillage and Mulch Practices on the Growth of Selected Cereal and Legume crops in the Foothills Agro-ecological Zone of Lesotho
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I thank the Editor for the possibility to read this research and the authors for the research made.</p> <p>The issue is very interesting as the authors clearly explain in the Introduction section.</p> <p>In the method section I will change the following paragraph to be included after Table 1 and before Table 2: "Using the method of Snyder and Trofynow (1984), the experimental soil was examined for physicochemical characteristics prior to sowing, and it was discovered that the field was sandy-loam with a pH of 6.44 (Table 2)."</p> <p>The statistic analysis using GLM , shown in Tables 3 and following are well interpreted, assuming the assumptions of the model are verified. The conclusion and recommendations are made in a summary and clear way, without repeating the results</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Helena de Aguiar Pereira e Pestana
Department, University & Country	ISCTE-IUL Lisbon University, Portugal