

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_98968
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in treatment of Autoimmune Encephalitis: a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes, this article is socially important, as it touches on the organizational changes that occurred before and after the Plasma exchange in treatment of autoimmune encephalitis, which played a major role in saving patients.</b> 2. <b>Yes</b> 3. <b>Yes, but should be divide to : introduction, objective, methods and conclusion</b> 4. <b>Yes, with some modifications as objective should be write after the last paragraph of introduction. Yes</b> 5. <b>Should be write more references specially for the introduction and discussion</b> 6. <b>Write case presentation instead of case history and Integration of case subheadings into one presentation without fragmentation</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language/English should be correct	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is important article and Accept after correction	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussien O. Kadi
Department, University & Country	Sana'a University, Yemen