

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_98968
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic Plasma Exchange in treatment of Autoimmune Encephalitis: a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript has an interesting topic and potential to be published in AJPR. It has an urgency for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes, but the author(s) need to re-arrange the abstract to a structured abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear author, I highly appreciate your hard work on this manuscript. Your manuscript is great, but I have a few remarks.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Re-write your abstract to a structured abstract which is consist of introduction, case presentation, discussion and conclusion. 2. Provide keywords according to MeSH Terms. 3. A citation should be started sequentially with number 1 not 7. 4. Clearly state aim of the case report in the end of introduction. 5. If possible, report the follow-up of this case at least 6-12 months after therapy. 6. For journal references use the last 5-10 years of publication. Either delete or substitute reference number 5, 8, and 9. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agung Riyanto Budi Santoso
Department, University & Country	University of Brawijaya, Indonesia