

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_99746
Title of the Manuscript:	Uncovering the Hidden Epidemic: Investigating Nutritional Anemia Prevalence Among Patients Seeking Care at Chuadanga Sadar Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community especially the differentiation of the different types of anaemia among children and women of reproductive age.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes based on the article sections, all are highlighted.</p> <p>4. Section and subsections well-articulated.</p> <p>5. There are missing scientific links; Author states unknown facts about the prevalence of anaemia among different age groups and among different socio-economic strata, however these are not addressed in this study.</p> <p>Malaria is on significant blood parasitic infection that increases risk of anaemia, however this article does not specify which blood parasites were considered, kindly highlight.</p> <p>Malnutrition is not categorised, did this study consider all forms of malnutrition even those requiring inpatient admission? Otherwise factors such as time of complete blood count/lab test versus the treatment for malnutrition, treatment protocol for severe acute malnutrition in in-patient therapeutic care requires blood test be done with in less than 48 hours of therapeutic feeding.</p> <p>Pregnant women; state if the gestation age had any significant association to prevalence of anaemia.</p> <p>6. Any reference about parasitic infections in relation to prevalence of nutritional anaemia especially in Bangladesh or any Asian countries, can be added to the list of references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	This article richly contributes to the scientific knowledge globally.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kansiime Noel
Department, University & Country	Mbarara University Teaching Hospital, Uganda