

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_99384
Title of the Manuscript:	The Troll: from Mythology and Folklore to Internet Trolling
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I commend the endeavour of the researcher in writing this study. This paper hedges to add the existing scholarship. To improve the technical approach, clarity, and scientific validity of this research article, I would suggest the following matters and standpoints: 1. The subsections are appropriate and well-written but readers might want to see more research parts such as methodology and review of related literature. 2. Please incorporate theoretical background or any theories to support the study's claim or assumption. Elaborate and use it in the introductory and concluding part of making this research more scientific. Related theories about literature, history, and mass communication can be used since this research talks about how the trolls of mythology and folklore moved to online trolls and the so-called practice of trolling.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The reference has to be improved slightly. This can be done by making sure that the inputted references are in hanging indent format.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kimberth D. Obeso
Department, University & Country	College of Arts and Sciences, Cebu Normal University, Philippines