

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99692
Title of the Manuscript:	Uncovering Administration Challenges Faced by Female Social Sciences Heads of Department in Selected Secondary Schools of Lusaka Urban District in Zambia
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	YES YES YES NEED SLIGHT MODIFICATION YES ONLY ACTUALLY CITATIONS SHOULD BE MENTIONED IN THE REFERENCE / BIBLIOGRAPHY SECTION Following Headings must be mentioned separately: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Need for the Study</li> <li>- Objectives of the study</li> <li>- Hypotheses of the study</li> <li>- Statement of the Problem</li> <li>- Scope of the study</li> <li>- Delimitation of the study</li> <li>- Sample, Data collection Procedure, tool details must be mentioned in neat and clear manner</li> <li>- Analysis of the output need appropriate analysis. Explanation written for each section of discussion need more development.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Clerical mistakes must be rectified	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Minati Rani Mohapatra
Department, University & Country	Composite Regional Centre, India