

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_98944</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determinants of Banking Sector Efficiency in Kenya: Application of Non- Parametric Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) Model</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The whole document needs proofreading and editing</p> <p>The abstract is clear. It reflects the paper's content and intended Objective.</p> <p>Keywords are good</p> <p>The introduction and background are adequate and are validated with relevant reported research. All the needed parts are included including the questions, hypotheses, and proposed model/framework Proofreading is needed [see attached scanned Manuscript]</p> <p>The numbering of the different sections of the paper is to be changed as suggested</p> <p>The literature review is adequate, clear, and streamlined. It is validated enough with relevant and contemporary reported research. Though more references are needed Proofreading is needed [see attached scanned Manuscript]</p> <p>Methodology representation is adequate including the Research methodology approach, modelling, Data analysis details, and their measurement....</p> <p>Results are supportive of the discussion and the objectives of the paper</p> <p>The discussion is adequate and was able to answer the research questions &amp; hypotheses The conclusion is adequate Review carefully the write-up of the references for consistency and completeness, and to match the Journal's requirements. Make sure to add all suggested references to support the concepts presented throughout the paper. Make sure all citations are reported.</p> <p>Proofreading and editing are needed</p>	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon