

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_98532
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthetic Antimalarial Maculopathy: a case series
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine induced maculopathy is well known</p> <p>Please use "Case Series" and not "Case Report"</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some sentences are not clear perhaps due to grammer mistakes.Patient parametersand diagnosis may be given as tables or pie charts.Terms like "collapsed vision" are not clear.</p> <p>Not clear why were patients given overdosage of drugs.No mention of Optic disc or intraocular pressure.Which visual field program was used?Reduced visio for near was with or without correction?What are the criteria to diagnose maculopathy using OCT or mfERG are not described.Finally,no clear cut guidelines have been given regarding pre evaluation,dosage and frequency of ophthalmic follow up</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Needs to be improved as some sentences are not clear at all	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renu Magdum
Department, University & Country	DYPMC, DPU, India