

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92064
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield and economics of Olitorius jute at different plant densities and topping practices
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Authors are suggested to include some references in the introduction section in support of the statements.• Authors are suggested to write a brief conclusion from the work highlighting the major findings.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There are some minor grammatical errors, which have been pointed out in track change mode.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The paper is worth reading by my peers. The authors have emphasized the effect of different plant densities and topping practices on <i>olitorius</i> jute in terms of productivity and economics. They have estimated a good number of significant and relevant parameters coherently and justified the significant role of these factors of the experiment with literature citations. Statistical analysis and their explanations are also worth commendable. The work is novel, informative and comprehensive by its approach. The compilation might seem to be genuine benefit for the Jute growers of Andhra Pradesh. I suggest to accept the manuscript after minor modifications as mentioned above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Purabi Banerjee
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, India