

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_90410
Title of the Manuscript:	Discrimination of root system architectural traits, morphometric traits and identification of potential genotypes in response to drought and irrigated conditions in Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.)
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVIEW comments	<p>Theme:The topic becomes original when there are no studies carried out in that field or line of research. In this case, it does exist, as has been evidenced throughout the review. It could be considered original as it is a certain area where this research was raised, in this case, it should be indicated in the discussion of this work.</p> <p>Summary:It is important to work in a single paragraph in a row, taking into account the punctuation marks; It is not necessary to determine the elements that make up this section. It would be nice to integrate the method a used. For the rest, it is well prepared since it accounts for the research process carried out.</p> <p>Keywords:The UNESCO Thesaurus is a glossary that allows establishing a universal academic language, it would be good to use this tool: Agroclimatology - Biotechnology - Agriculture - Food...</p> <p>Introduction:The problem is well described, the justification and the benefit that this work can give is clear; It would be important to state the objective of the research in this section as its hypothesis.</p> <p>Materials and methods:The context of the research is clear, the alternate block design is conducive to determining study results: the selected population is well determined. Very clear.</p> <p>Results and Discussion:The comparative analysis with previous studies is important, at the same time it indicates that this study would not be original. Rather, it complements lines of research not worked on in previous studies; this makes it even more relevant as its presented results show. Hence, the importance of establishing lines of research based on their results or conclusions.</p> <p>Conclusions:The contribution it makes to the community that generates this product is relevant.</p> <p>Bibliographic references:References are well done.</p>	
Minor REVIEW comments		
Optional/General comments	I suggest the publication of this study because of its relevance and contributions regarding its results. That yes, always and when you take into account the observations given.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic University, Ecuador