

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_99011
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning Activity Packets (LAPs) in Personal Development for Senior High School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is, although it is best if the least mastered competencies are based on the empirical evidence and not merely reliant on the teachers' perceptions. The paper is good however, it should reflect clearly in the title what learning packets are for? The abstract is presented in organized manner and easy to understand. The paper contains the basic elements of a publication manuscript. Commendable. It can still be improved in the future if there is another layer in the methodology that will support the results of the first-hand information. Triangulation in this case is necessary. Yes, but there is quire a number of references beyond 5 years. Beef up the literature review. All descriptive tables should include standard deviation aside from the mean to check on the dispersion/variability of responses per item.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, though it is highly recommended to have it subjected to stylistics and editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines