

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_99778
Title of the Manuscript:	C-reactive Protein and Immunological indices of lectin extract from edible fungus (<i>Pleurotus tuber-regium</i>) on wounded rats.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, knowing that lectins are glycoproteins of non-immune origin with wide distribution in nature with the ability to agglutinate erythrocytes and recognize glycoconjugates. The study aimed at determining the healing effect of lectin extract from <i>Pleurotus tuber - regium</i> on acute wounds induced on male albino rats. To ascertain its biochemical and immunological effects on the levels of C-reactive protein, platelets, neutrophils, white blood cells and eosinophils on the clinical status in the induced wounded male rats within 4-week period of treatment. The authors concluded that oral administration of lectin was nutritional thereby leading to increased body weight observed. 2. Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The text is clear and easy to read. 6. The list of references is large and relatively recently.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Attention at the reference list. Is not written uniformly. Please to verify the instructions for authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dumitru Gabriela
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Romania