

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_99876
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSOCIATION BETWEEN INDEPENDENT VARIABLES WITH KNOWLEDGE OF RESPONDENTS TOWARDS IMPROVED BANANA CULTIVATION PRACTICES IN VAISHALI DISTRICT OF BIHAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This paper have tiny impact, and it will be more significant if the study to be more thorough and details. No -DEMOGRAPHIC BACKGROUND RELATION IN IMPROVING BANANA CULTIVATION PRACTICES IN VAISHALI DISTRICT OF BIHAR Abstract should focus more on the importance of selected DV. Why the authors use two types of sampling which are purposive sampling and proportionate simple random sampling technique. YES This is more a descriptive study compare to correlations study. YES, but it needed to be added more references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need English proofread.	
Optional/General comments	This paper has good main point but it lack of extra explanation and reference support. The authors need to focus more on discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Aisyah Awanis Binti A Rahim
Department, University & Country	University Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia