

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_98684
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Zooplankton in Calabar Great Kwa River
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes . it focuses on the impact of pollutants on zooplanktons , important component of aquatic ecosystem.</p> <p>No , An assessment of the effect of pollution on Zooplanktons in Calabar Great Kwa River will be better one(in my opinion)</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some more recent references may be added</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay	
Optional/General comments	Some typing errors need to be rectified. Minor Revision <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Map of the river/location of the sampling sites may be provided. ▪ No reference for the identification of zooplanktons is given. ▪ In the <i>material and methods</i> it has been stated that water was analyzed for various physic-chemical parameters but no table is given in results, showing that. Distribution/abundance of zooplanktons in relations to pollution should be properly discussed. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dushyant Kumar Sharma
Department, University & Country	Govt. Model Science College, India