

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99729
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in soil nitrogen availability as influenced by direct seeded rice and transplanted rice ecosystem, nutritional approaches and slow release nitrogen sources
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Nitrogen availability is necessary for plant growth, so it needs to be known and be regularised. The application is supposed to be monitored also so that the plants will not be affected. The rice yield will depend on the amount of nitrogen in the soil.  2. Changes in soil nitrogen availability as influenced by direct seeded rice and transplanted rice ecosystem, nutritional approaches and slow-release nitrogen  3. Not that comprehensive, the methodology is narrow. A layman cannot repeat the experiment without facing difficulties. 4. Appropriate  5. Yes  6. Moderately recent though there is inconsistency in referencing	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Many grammatical mistakes, and there is a need to improve simple sentence construction	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There is no conclusion in the manuscript. The researchers are supposed to conclude their work	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shumba Tobias Takawira
Department, University & Country	Harare Polytechnic, Zimbabwe