

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99558
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of NPK and FYM on Physical and Chemical properties of Soil under Spinach in an Inceptisol of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community 2. The title is suitable, but it may be revised to make it more representative of the topic of the study. For example, The effect of NPK and FYM fertilizer on the physical and chemical properties of soil that planted spinach plants in Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. 3. The abstract requires revision to clearly show the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, and major findings with important data and conclusions. 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is still can be regarded as correct but a thorough revision is required on the result and discussion section. 6. The reference should be written following the author's guidelines of this journal consistently.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is very difficult to read, I would suggest the author check the grammar using an application	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gede Ketut Adiputra
Department, University & Country	Indonesia