

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99339
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of sulfentrazone 39.6% as a pre emergence herbicide against weed spectrum of soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community because it provides results on the evaluation of herbicides in the control of weeds of Glycine max L. Merrill 2. Whether the title of the article is appropriate 3. The summary is complete because it shows the most important results and puts the reader in context 4. Yes 5. omitted to include seedling size at planting time, planting distance and density, evacuation time and the statistical test 6.They are enough	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is acceptable	
Optional/General comments	It is also important to include the evaluation time of the variables, from the sowing of the seeds and seedlings to the production, to analyze the variability of the results (through time).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Henry Hernan Medina Arroyo
Department, University & Country	Universidad Tecnológica del Chocó, Colombia