

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99003
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different packaging materials and various levels of Nanosilver on cut Rose
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. No 5. Some corrections needed 6. Need more references 7. Author should correct following points. A. Formatting of manuscript should be revised as per journal's format. B. Specifications of material needed. I.e Which kind of nano silver, LDPE butter Paper or chemicals used. C. Standard Testing Procedure (I.e. ASTM / ISO / BIS etc) should be mention. D. Statistical analysis and Flower diameter part is incomplete and confusing. Please rewrite it. E. Lower part of table 1 and 2 confusing . rewrite it. F. Conclusion should more elaborated.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes	
Optional/General comments	8. Author should correct following points. A. Formatting of manuscript should be revised as per journal's format. B. Specifications of material needed. I.e Which kind of nano silver, LDPE butter Paper or chemicals used. C. Standard Testing Procedure (I.e. ASTM / ISO / BIS etc) should be mention. D. Statistical analysis and Flower diameter part is incomplete and confusing. Please rewrite it. E. Lower part of table 1 and 2 confusing . rewrite it. F. Conclusion should more elaborated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pathik Shah
Department, University & Country	Central Institute of Petrochemicals Engineering & Technology, India