

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99535
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Resilient Water Management for Sustainable Agriculture
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The authors, through this article, aims to show farmers that's vital to prioritize climate-resilient water management practices to promote sustainable agriculture in the face of climate change. The authors have described in this article Some effective strategies for achieving this include drip irrigation, sprinkler irrigation, central pivot irrigation systems, hydrogel, and soil and water assessment tools. - The idea of the article is not original, but it contributes to the development of knowledge of a subject studied. - The sections of the review are clearly identified, and their content is consistent with the title of the review. - The aim of the article is clearly expressed. The review of the literature cited provides a theoretical and methodological framework to the problem of study. some remarques to consider for the final version of this article: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the background of figures 1-2-3 and 5 should be changed from black to white for better visibility. - the reference of figure 2 is missing. - the location of figures and tables are not cited in the text of the article. - I do not see the usefulness of figure 3, it is better to replace it with the data presented just below concerning "crop response". - the presentation of references in the text must follow the standards of the journal, they must be indicated by the reference number in brackets only. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The terminology is uniform throughout the section. The style is clear and easy to read, there are no spelling mistakes.	
Optional/General comments	We can recommend this article for publication with minor corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taoufik Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Regional Center for Education and Training, Morocco