

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_99348</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INM-A potential way of farming in reduction of CH4 and CO2 emission in rice- sunflower sequential cropping system</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	YES  YES  YES  YES  YES  YES	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduction could benefit from a more detailed explanation of the study design and objectives, but the specific research questions or hypotheses are not clearly stated.</li> </ul> 2. Keywords in Bold 3. Map has not been given any caption <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>However, it would be helpful to provide more information on the implications and significance of the findings in the abstract. Additionally, the use of abbreviations and acronyms such as "FYM" and "RDF" may not be clear to all readers and could be spelled out or defined.</li> </ul> The abstract provides a clear overview of the study design, methodology, and results. The aim and objectives of the study are clearly stated, and the study location and duration are provided. The methodology and statistical analysis methods are also clearly outlined.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Prashant V</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gulbarga University, India</b>