

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99348
Title of the Manuscript:	INM-A potential way of farming in reduction of CH4 and CO2 emission in rice- sunflower sequential cropping system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes! 2. Yes! 3. Yes! 4. Yes! 5. Yes! 6. No! The manuscript does not go into depth on the proposed subject. The introduction has only two paragraphs. There are only nine references throughout the entire manuscript. This demonstrates little knowledge on the subject. References have different formatting throughout the text and in the References item. Little detailing of the experimental procedure in the material and methods. Outdated references. Figures are not cited in the text.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Review English.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cassiane Ubessi
Department, University & Country	Institute of Educational Development of Caxias do Sul, Brazil