

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_98630
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Soil and Climatic Conditions on Brown Spot Occurrence in Rice Lowland Across Four Agro-climatic zones of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The following statements are some comments about the paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction section can be furnished with some new papers like: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063549 b. doi: 10.1109/ICISCE48695.2019.00092. 2. Paper writing method and Quality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The motivation of the paper should be improved. And please write your research contribution with number order • Please check the whole manuscript for types and grammar errors. Language of the paper should be improved. • Please clearly refer and write about your words data based and sample test • In the conclusion part please write the exact improvement number by using your proposed method. 3. Figures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer to figure 1, 2 and 3 inside the text. • Also explain what is shown in the figures. 4. Tables: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain what is shown in the tables 1, 2 and 3. 5. References: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please use most recent papers for the reference, starting from 2019 onwards. 6. Authors are requested to make typesetting strictly according to the paper template on the conference website. <p>This study has merit for publication. However, I would recommend a major revision to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes but need to improve	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ata Jahangir Moshayedi
Department, University & Country	Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, China