

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_99067
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Rhizobium and Virus Inoculum on Growth and Yields of Cowpea: a mini-review
Type of the Article	Mini review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the effect of rhizobium and virus inoculum on the growth and yields of cowpea crop</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is divided into appropriate subsections.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is quality suitable. The parts highlighted and corrected the paper need to be reframed so that they are more coherent. I have also suggested some grammatical corrections in red colors. There are some spelling mistakes.	
Optional/General comments	It is a general review manuscript and is important in developing country students working in rhizobium and microbial interaction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamal Prasad
Department, University & Country	Center for Advanced Agriculture Research, India