

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_99533
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibition of NADPH Oxidase with Apocynin and Curcumin: A Novel Treatment Model for Diclofenac-Induced Cardiotoxicity in Male Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The idea of the work has a scientific benefits, however the manuscript not well organized to clarify these benefits. 2. No, the title not suitable. The suggested title ; [Dose and Time Dependent Protective Effects of Apocyanin and Curcumin Against Diclofenac-Induced Cardiotoxicity in Male Rats] 3. The abstract somewhat comprehensive 4. The general structure of the manuscript is accepted, however there are some defects in the subsections which need to be reorganized as highlighted . 5. No, there are number of points and questions highlighted and listed in the manuscript that need to be corrected and answered by the author . 6. Additional references are required as mentioned in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes its suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amal Ajaweed Sulaiman
Department, University & Country	Baghdad College of Medical Sciences, Iraq