

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_99685
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology and Treatment Aspects of Hair Loss in India – A Cross-sectional, Multicentre, Database study (HALLO)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1) Yes 2) <b>Need to re-look: this is not a cross sectional study, this is a retrospective study since the data are collected retrospectively from medical record.</b> 3) Need revision. 4) Need revision 5) After correction, it can be considered 6) Please check with the journal requirement. It has only 26 references. <b>Abstract:</b> please starts with background information about study, objective, method (this study is retrospective study not cross sectional study), findings/results, conclusion and implication. <b>Introduction:</b> please include study objective/s. <b>Methodology:</b> Please revise! The author is collecting the data retrospectively, not cross sectional survey. <b>Statistical data:</b> Why the author describe that "Qualitative data are presented by frequency & proportions". There is no such thing like frequency and proportion I the qualitative data. Please understand on this. <b>Please separate result and discussion.</b> If the authors described with sub-title in the results, the discussion should follow the results. <b>Figures:</b> please follow the journal guideline. <b>Conclusion</b> is too short. Please add. <b>What is the implication, recommendation from this study findings?</b> <b>References:</b> please follow the journal guideline.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thandar Soe Sumaiyah Jamaludin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International Islamic University, Malaysia</b>