

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_99685
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology and Treatment Aspects of Hair Loss in India – A Cross-sectional, Multicentre, Database study (HAILO)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES. Very useful in trichology Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 1)The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations.The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address. 2) Positive and negative controls should be analysed with reasons that might increase or decrease in values. 3) How standard is reflecting on evaluation of data should be properly discussed. 4) Along with high yielding best results, low yielding results should be discussed and reason for high and low yields should be analysed and discussed. 5) A brief acknowledgment section is needed. 6) Check author guidelines for declaration of AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS. 7)Reference should match journal style. 8)Tabular form of results should also be represented for better understanding. 9)Conclusion should link future aspects analyzing present results.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1) There should be spacing in text after full stop. 2) Paragraph spacing should be properly adjusted. 3) Spacing between words should be properly checked.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Satya Sravanthi Pammi
Department, University & Country	SVRK Government Degree College, India