

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_99410
Title of the Manuscript:	Piper betel - not only a mouth freshener but also a medicinally wonder herb: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Well written article Reviewing the Medicinal importance of the common mouth freshner Yes Seems appropriate Correct Pharmacognostical studies on leaf of Piper betle--- https://www.scholarsresearchlibrary.com/articles/pharmacognostical-studies-on-leaf-of-piper-betle.pdf Piper betle Linn. a maligned Pan-Asiatic plant with an array of pharmacological activities and prospects for drug discovery https://www.jstor.org/stable/24066069	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English and Grammar seems good enough	
Optional/General comments	1. Abstract and main article are very well written 2. Tittle could be made more precise so it attracts scientists –this looks like for a layman. 3. Review articles selected are appropriate for this review and more Ayurveda articles could also be choosen. 4. Ayurveda records the importance if used while making medicines. 5. while prescribing medicines it is advised to be taken with betel leaf and honey—such contributions from Ayurveda could add up to the importance of the article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shantala Priyadarshini
Department, University & Country	University of Latvia, Latvia