

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98589
Title of the Manuscript:	Student Quality Circles in secondary schools
Type of the Article	Professional work

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, artice brings us back to the human need for "face-to-face" communication, which we have forgotten about due to technocization and mediatization of "everything around us"!</p> <p>I think the title is adequate!</p> <p>Yes, it is, I made a short comment in the article itself, let the author take a look!</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is good, it has a logical sequence!</p> <p>Yes, it relies on scientific sources, research. It is therefore: informative because it describes the circular learning model.</p> <p>out of 8 references, 6 are more recent, another number of references (5-6) could have been given, which I think is not optimal!</p> <p>let the author guess the comments I made in the article itself</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>I think it is satisfactory</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>artice with minor corrections, reviewer's suggestions can be published!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Slavoljub Hilčenko
Department, University & Country	Univesity of Novi Sad, College for Vocational Education of Preschool Teachers and Coaches, Serbia