

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_99743
Title of the Manuscript:	The Walk-in Observation: The perception of teachers on its impact on classroom instruction and pedagogy
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Walk in observation is the effort to improve the quality of education, definitely it will give the impact of body of knowledge. Engagement of the leaders in the teaching process should be viewed as collaborative. Collaborative teaching approach is a promising method that has become a twenty first century trend. Knowledge sharing is crucial as a factor in the ability to generate new knowledge it helps to improve individual and organization performance and innovativeness. Therefore, this study sheds the light to the curriculum designers to concern the learning real environments. 2. Yes, it is clear in terms of conveying the research focus nevertheless I feel that it could be improved by being more concise and specific for example <i>Exploring teachers' perspective on the effects of walk in observation on classroom instruction and pedagogy.</i> 3. Overall it is good however it would be better if could include the finding of qualitative results and the effect of the findings. 4. Acceptable 5. Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct, nevertheless for table 2 it would be more convincing if the researcher could cite for example Nunnally 6. Yes, sufficient and most of the references are current.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	acceptable	
Optional/General comments	The research issue is interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Seng Poh
Department, University & Country	Penang Matriculation College, Malaysia