



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Keyword selections are missing.</p> <p>2. The practical implications derived from this study must be included in the abstract as well as in the conclusions.</p> <p>3. The method section is too brief. More details are needed on the study methodology, such as the sample size, analytical tools, interview questions.</p> <p>4. Use "and" instead of "&" throughout the entire text.</p> <p>5. In Table 1, length unit "m" is used for capacity. Should it be volume instead?</p> <p>6. In Table 2, what is the unit used for the "price"?</p> <p>7. What is the purpose of the SWOT analysis? The SWOT table should have a table caption and be referred to in the text along with adequate discussions.</p> <p>8. In the reference, all the authors' names must be listed out, not just "et al."</p> <p>9. Many spacing and English errors have been detected. As such, a thorough proof-check and English check is highly recommended.</p>	<p>Added</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Ok done</p> <p>Language has been revised</p>