

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_99497
Title of the Manuscript:	Landslide measurement in Glendroud region (from the central part of Noor city) in Mazandaran province by using remote sensing and radar interferometry techniques.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript seems more theoretical than an applied study which is the interest of SIG and geomatic studies.</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections needs parts of comparison with the phenomena in field (measurement of the dynamic of phenomena on land) It seems correctly in theoretical aspects</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English seems suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	Absence of field study to compare the results obtained by satellite imagery and related technics with the dynamic of phenomena in terrain. This works seems theoretical more than an applied study which is the main goal of geomatic, SIG and related fields.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayari Hamza
Department, University & Country	Tunisia