

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_99518
Title of the Manuscript:	Internal Flow Heat Transfer Rate for Parallel Heat Exchanger Pipes One Pass of Rice Husk to Air
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. the manuscript important for scientific community. The title of the article suitable to the work. Yes. The abstract of the article comprehensive. Yes. Yes. Some only. Review comments: 1. Reduce the abstract. 2. Improve the introduction. 3. Mention the reference number to the equation (1). 4. Maintain the same letter size in the entire manuscript, including equations. 5. Figure 5 is not good. Change the colours. 6. Improve conclusion part.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The language/English quality of the article suitable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Dharmiah
Department, University & Country	Narasaraopeta Engineering College, India