

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99829
Title of the Manuscript:	Unusual clinical presentation of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: a retrospective study
Type of the Article	Cohort study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, this retrospective study is gentle reminder of features of Squamous cell carcinoma. 2. Although title is suitable but it would be better to write ' Study of Clinical Profile of Cases with Squamous Cell Carcinoma' 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Editing is necessary. For example, write either malignant condition or malignant neoplasm instead of malignant neoplastic condition. 'Exclusionary criteria included all patients with insufficient clinical or histologi'cal data.' may be rephrased as 'All patients with insufficient clinical or histological data were included in the excluding criteria.' 'Data were collected in tables and put into Excel spreadsheets to be analyzed' can be rewritten as 'Tables with the acquired data were then imported into Excel spreadsheets for analysis.	
Optional/General comments	May be accepted after editing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranjan Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Jai Prakash Narayan Hospital, India