

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99528
Title of the Manuscript:	Oral morphine versus rectal ketamine in pain management during burn wound dressing: an open label randomized clinical trial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the study is very much relevant. The drugs chosen are cost effective and easily available in a setting with limited resources. 2. The term open label can be omitted 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes Suggestions: - Why is the term regenerate response mentioned in Background section? - The dose of Pethidine for breakthrough analgesia is given as 1g/kg in Material and methods section – isn't it 1mg/kg	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The study is relevant to the particular population with limited resources and high incidence of burns cases. The results of the study have asserted the role of Ketamine as a safe and effective drug when administered rectally in children	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susan T Cheeran
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India

Review Form 1.7