

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99132
Title of the Manuscript:	Antitrypanosomal efficacy of Annona muricata leaf extracts in Trypanosoma brucei brucei experimentally infected rats
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The manuscript is important to the scientific community because it borders on drug trial from plant extracts towards a common African disease classified as an NTD.</p> <p>The title of the article is abit verge and should be more specified like "Evaluation of invivio and invitro antitrypanosomal activity of leaf extract of Annona muricata on T.bb experimentally infected albino rats.</p> <p>The abstract which is a summary of the wok have done is comprehensive enough</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are sufficient and recent; however i may recommend the usage of Endnote for referencing for better outlook.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English language used in manuscript is suitable enough for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Sunny Onotu
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Institute for Trypanosomiasis Research, Nigeria