

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_99093
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CARRIER MATERIALS, COINOCULATION AND STERILIZATION ON SURVIVAL OF PLANT GROWTH PROMOTING MICROBES
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	No Yes the title is suitable. Yes Yes the sections and structure are appropriate. No The references are not enough. The author has to add recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is poor.	
Optional/General comments	The abstract is poorly written. The result section is not proper. The data has to be presented as average of triplicates. The discussion has to be properly written with comparison of the work done by others.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparna Gunjal
Department, University & Country	Dr. D.Y. Patil, Arts, Commerce & Science College, India