

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRDES_99779 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Impact of Libyan Pediatric Atopic Dermatitis on their Families |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | It is important at its level Suitable The abstract is too wordy. It is 453 word count, normal word count for abstract should be about 250 word count Appropriate Its more or less a social science, though it can be categorized as science Sufficient and recent The manuscript does not entirely follow the instructions and guidelines for author in the template of manuscript. The font style, and size needs adjustment. The tables and figures should come after results, not after the abstract | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | suitable | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Oluwafemi Ajenifuja |
| Department, University & Country | The Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria |