

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_99174
Title of the Manuscript:	Blood plasmacytosis masquerading as a plasma cell neoplasm
Type of the Article	Clinical Image

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript can be used as a guidance document when anomalies are seen on the white cell differential scatter plot. If data were complete, the title will be more clear. The abstract is not very explicit. It would be necessary after the reason of consultation and clinical data, to give the complete blood count and the results of myelogram. It's possible to have an infection on myeloma or plasma cell leukemia. Myelogram and various cellular markers by cytometry will make it possible to decide. As said above, important data is missing. Section not suitable Is it possible to have the results of myelogram and cytometry in order to better present the case? It would be better to indicate plasma cells and lymphoplasmacytoid cells and describe them to better help.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The linguistic quality needs to be improved	
Optional/General comments	It's a good document which well presented could better help	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Messanh D. I. Kueviakoe
Department, University & Country	University of Lome, Togo