

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_99694
Title of the Manuscript:	TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY TEACHING SKILLS AND JOB SATISFACTION: THE CASE OF PUBLIC SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS IN CENTRAL PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	Original empirical study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The manuscript tackles critical issues of fostering the 21<sup>st</sup> century skills in teaching and hence learning, as well as job satisfaction as a result of the former.</p> <p>The title is appropriate, but could be made better by making it one rather than in two parts. The use of "case" should be avoided as this imparts a qualitative take on the study, which was not employed.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, but changes made to the methods should be effected in it as well.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the paper are appropriate and the content well detailed, except the purpose of the study is erroneously labelled as statement of the problem.</p> <p>The statistics chosen are appropriate for the analyses conducted. However, the uthor needs to use the Equity Theory in discussing the results.</p> <p>By and large the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is grave need for language editing to punch out issues of wrong tenses and grammar, especially foiled meaning-making.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper, after effecting language editing, will make a beautiful academic contribution to academia.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dennis Zami Atibuni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Busitema University, Uganda</b>