

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_97904
Title of the Manuscript:	CREDIT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND LOAN DEFAULT IN DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS (DMBs) IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This research article is important both for the scientific community and for practitioners</p> <p>The title of the article is in accordance with the contents</p> <p>Abstract is clear, at least explains the purpose, methods and results of the research</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript has been systematic</p> <p>In my opinion, this article is scientific, because it is the result of research and is made in accordance with scientific principles</p> <p>In my opinion, the references are sufficient, it would be better if the number of previous studies was added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The English used in the article will be able to communicate scientifically to readers 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The article is complete, clear, consistent between research objectives, research problems, research methods with research results and conclusions. The research method used is in accordance with the research objective The stages of data analysis are in accordance with the research objective The results of the research and discussion are quite clear, discussing the results of the research in a descriptive way, statistical processing, scientific explanation and discussion Systematics of writing to be guided by the journal template set by the publisher 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Herry Achmad Buchory
Department, University & Country	Ekuitas Business School, Indonesia