

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_97542
Title of the Manuscript:	TO STUDY HIGH-SENSITIVITY CRP LEVELS IN OVERWEIGHT AND OBESE INDIVIDUALS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (but better to remove the “TO STUDY’ better to add cross sectional study) or add correlation of</p> <p>Not structured</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Better to check 16 th one</p> <p>Key words are better placed in alphabetical order in MeSH terms.</p> <p>It is better to remove key words that are already in the title.</p> <p>Better to add ethical committee certificate/number</p> <p>Better to add period of study in methodology</p> <p>Sample size is better calculated and cited where needed.</p> <p>Any third party involved in measurements to avoid bias?</p> <p>Please mention the chi-square test or two-tailed Fischer’s exact test where it was used. (below the table)</p> <p>In the discussion, it would be better to compare other study parameters if possible.</p> <p>CRP is not specific; it is only sensitive to how you explain it.</p> <p>If possible, combine the methodology section into fewer paragra</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kotha Rakesh
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India