

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Microbiology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMB_97737 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Isolation and Identification of Microorganisms from Students Makeup Brushes in Rivers State University Nigeria. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>The manuscript is important for scientific community. Covering event in activity of community potential</p> <p>This title of the article is suitable and interesting</p> <p>The abstract of the article has comprehensive (Some to add as mention in the full text review</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript has appropriate, Due To Style of Manuscript in general</p> <p>I think the manuscript writing has correct due to scientific method</p> <p>The references have sufficient and recent. I have suggestion of additional references, if possible</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | 2. The language/English quality of the article has suitable for scholarly communications | |
| Optional/General comments | Good Idea | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Sonlimar Mangunsong |
| Department, University & Country | Health of Polytechnic, Indonesia |