

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_97536
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE, PHYTOCHEMICAL AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF METHANOL EXTRACT OF Persea americana SEED
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion part needs language editing. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please replace "ground" with "grounded" (Material and method part) • Please delete determination in "while determination of total carbohydrate content was determined by difference of 100 as described by (Merrill and Watt, 1973)". • Please delete this sentence "before quantitative analysis were carried out" • In the discussion part please don't repeat "figure" as in results part. • There are many sentences in the manuscript need punctuation marks. • Authors should write references in correct form, for example: "were similar to those of (nwaoguikpe and braide, 2011)" should be written as "were similar to those of Nwaoguikpe and Braide (2011)". • Authors should reformulate the sentence (conclusion part) " These possible dietary and drug functions of these seeds, have generated background for phyto-medicinal usage of avocado pear seeds thereby demanding further studies to determine these seeds have possible usage in medicine and food industries". 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dalia Mamdouh Mabrouk
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Biotechnology Research Institute, Egypt